MINI REVIEW

ENDOCRINE DISRUPTORS IN THE ENVIRONMENT: HEALTH RISKS AND REGULATORY CHALLENGES

Vinod M. Thakare

Departments of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance and Pharmaceutical Analysis Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

Correspondence:
Dr. Vinod M. Thakare,
Departments of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance and Pharmaceutical Analysis Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.
E-id: vmthakre@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Thakare V. Endocrine Disruptors in The Environment: Health Risks and Regulatory Challenges Innov Pharm Planet (IP-Planet) 2020;08(1)5-8.

Source of Support: Nil.
Conflicts of Interest: None declared.

Date of Submission: 16-01-2020
Date of Revision: 06-02-2020
Date of Acceptance: 18-02-2020

ABSTRACT:

Endocrine disruptors (EDs) are chemicals that interfere with the hormonal systems of humans and wildlife, leading to a range of adverse health effects. Common sources of EDs include industrial chemicals, pesticides, personal care products, and pharmaceuticals, which are released into the environment through various pathways, accumulating in water, soil, and living organisms. This review explores the mechanisms through which EDs impact biological systems, highlighting their effects on reproductive health, metabolic function, and neurological development in humans, as well as ecological consequences in wildlife. Notable EDs such as bisphenol A, phthalates, polychlorinated biphenyls, and atrazine are examined in detail to illustrate their pervasive nature and associated risks. Regulatory frameworks face significant challenges in managing these substances due to complexities in testing, identification, and the need for standardized assessment methods. Advances in high-throughput screening and biomonitoring offer potential pathways for improved detection and risk assessment. This review underscores the need for stronger regulatory measures, public awareness, and innovative strategies to mitigate exposure. Ultimately, addressing the impact of EDs is essential for safeguarding human health and preserving ecosystem integrity, highlighting a critical need for continued research and international cooperation in managing endocrine disruptors.

KEYWORDS: Endocrine disruptors, health risks, environmental contamination, regulatory challenges, bisphenol A

INTRODUCTION

Endocrine disruptors (EDs) are chemicals that can interfere with the endocrine system, which regulates hormones in the body. These substances can mimic, block, or alter hormonal signals, leading to a range of health issues such as reproductive disorders, developmental problems, and increased risks of certain cancers. The significance of EDs stems from their ability to disrupt biological processes even at low concentrations, raising concerns about their impact on both human health and wildlife.
The primary sources of endocrine disruptors in the environment include industrial chemicals, pesticides, pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and heavy metals.
Industrial activities often release harmful substances like bisphenol A (BPA) and dioxins into the environment. Pesticides used in agriculture frequently contain EDs that can contaminate soil and water systems. Pharmaceuticals can enter the environment through improper disposal or runoff from wastewater treatment plants. Personal care products, such as cosmetics and toiletries, may contain phthalates and parabens that act as endocrine disruptors. Additionally, heavy metals from industrial processes contribute to the contamination of air, soil, and water.[1]
Addressing the issue of endocrine disruptors is crucial for public health and environmental safety. Exposure to these chemicals has been linked to rising rates of endocrine-related diseases, including obesity, diabetes, and reproductive health issues. Understanding how EDs affect
effective regulations and interventions to minimize exposure. Moreover, the widespread presence of these chemicals in various environmental media such as air, water, and food highlight the need for public awareness and proactive measures to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems from their harmful effects.[2]

MECHANISM OF ENDOCRINE DISRUPTION

Endocrine disruptors (EDs) interfere with hormonal systems through various mechanisms, primarily by interacting with hormone receptors, altering hormone synthesis, and affecting metabolic pathways. These chemicals can mimic natural hormones, binding to their respective receptors and eliciting similar biological responses, or they can block these receptors, inhibiting the action of the hormones. Additionally, EDs may disrupt the synthesis of hormones by interfering with the

Access this article online	
Website: https://innovationaljournals.com/index.php/ip	**e-ISSN:** 2348-7275

enzymes involved in their production and metabolism, leading to imbalances that can affect numerous physiological processes.

Different pathways illustrate how EDs affect endocrine function. Estrogenic disruptions occur when substances like bisphenol A (BPA) mimic estrogen, binding to estrogen receptors and triggering cellular responses that can lead to reproductive health issues. Anti-androgenic effects are observed when chemicals such as certain pesticides inhibit the action of androgens (male hormones), potentially resulting in developmental problems in males and fertility issues in adults. Furthermore, thyroid hormone disruptions can arise from chemicals that interfere with thyroid hormone synthesis or receptor activity, which may lead to metabolic disorders and developmental delays.[3]

The dose-response relationships associated with EDs are particularly complex due to their low-dose effects and non-linear responses. Unlike traditional toxic substances that typically exhibit a linear relationship between dose and effect where increased exposure leads to greater harm EDs can demonstrate significant biological activity even at very low concentrations. This characteristic raises concerns about the potential for adverse health outcomes from minimal exposure. Moreover, the non-linear nature of these responses complicates risk assessments and regulatory frameworks since effects cannot be accurately predicted based solely on higher-dose studies. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for developing effective strategies to mitigate the risks posed by endocrine disruptors.[4]

SOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PATHWAYS OF ENDOCRINE DISRUPTORS

Endocrine disruptors (EDs) originate from various industrial and agricultural sources, significantly impacting environmental health. In the agricultural sector, pesticides and herbicides are common sources of EDs. Chemicals like atrazine, a widely used herbicide, have been shown to disrupt hormonal functions in wildlife and humans. Industrial chemicals, such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and dioxins, are also critical contributors. PCBs were historically used in electrical equipment and other applications, while dioxins are byproducts of combustion processes and certain industrial activities. Both groups of chemicals are persistent in the environment and can accumulate in the food chain, posing long-term risks to ecosystems and human health.[5]

Personal care and household products represent another significant source of endocrine disruptors. Common items such as detergents, plastics (notably those containing bisphenol A or BPA), and cosmetics often contain EDs. BPA, found in many plastic containers, can leach into food and beverages, leading to exposure. Other chemicals like phthalates, used to enhance the flexibility of plastics, are prevalent in numerous consumer products, including personal care items such as lotions and shampoos. The widespread use of these substances means that everyday interactions can lead to significant exposure to endocrine disruptors.

Environmental pathways for endocrine disruptors involve their entry into ecosystems through various routes, including air, water, and soil. These chemicals can be released into the environment via agricultural runoff, industrial discharges, or atmospheric deposition. Once in the environment, EDs can bioaccumulate in wildlife, particularly in aquatic ecosystems where they may affect fish and other organisms. For instance, studies have shown that fish exposed to wastewater effluents containing EDs exhibit altered reproductive behaviors and physiological changes. This bioaccumulation poses risks not only to wildlife but also to humans who consume contaminated animals or plants, highlighting the interconnectedness of environmental health and human health regarding endocrine disruptors.[6]

HEALTH RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH ENDOCRINE DISRUPTORS

Endocrine disruptors (EDs) pose significant health risks to humans and wildlife, with various adverse effects documented across multiple biological systems. In humans, exposure to EDs is linked to a range of reproductive health issues, including infertility, birth defects, and developmental disorders. Studies indicate that chemicals such as phthalates and bisphenol A (BPA) can lead to decreased sperm quality, altered reproductive organ development, and hormonal imbalances, contributing to conditions like polycystic ovarian syndrome and testicular cancer. Additionally, the impact of EDs on metabolic and thyroid disorders is notable; these substances have been associated with obesity, diabetes, and thyroid hormone imbalances, disrupting normal metabolic processes and leading to long-term health consequences.

Neurological and cognitive effects are also concerning, with research linking exposure to EDs during critical developmental periods to neurodevelopmental disorders such as ADHD and cognitive delays in children.

The effects of endocrine disruptors extend beyond human health to wildlife and ecosystems. Many species experience reproductive and developmental abnormalities due to exposure to EDs. For instance, fish and birds have shown signs of feminization and altered reproductive behaviors when exposed to contaminated water sources2. These disruptions can lead to reduced fertility rates and increased mortality among affected populations. Furthermore, the ecosystem-level consequences include biodiversity loss as sensitive species decline or become extinct due to the cumulative impacts of endocrine disruption. The interconnectedness of species within ecosystems means that the loss of one species can have cascading effects on food webs and habitat stability. Addressing the risks associated with endocrine disruptors is crucial for protecting both human health and ecological integrity.[7]

CASE STUDIES OF COMMON ENDOCRINE DISRUPTORS

Bisphenol A (BPA) is a widely used chemical primarily found in the production of polycarbonate plastics and epoxy resins. Common sources of exposure include food and beverage containers, thermal paper receipts, and dental sealants. BPA is known to leach into food and beverages, especially when heated or when containers are damaged. Documented health effects associated with BPA exposure include reproductive health issues such as decreased fertility, hormonal imbalances, and developmental disorders in children. Research has linked BPA to increased risks of breast and prostate cancers, as well as metabolic disorders like obesity and diabetes.

Phthalates are a group of chemicals commonly used to make plastics more flexible and durable. They are found in a variety of products, including vinyl flooring, adhesives, detergents, and personal care products like shampoos and lotions. Exposure routes for phthalates include ingestion through contaminated food, inhalation of dust containing phthalates, and dermal absorption from personal care products. Health risks associated with phthalate exposure are particularly concerning for reproductive health; studies have shown links to reduced testosterone levels, abnormal genital development in males, and increased risks of infertility.

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are synthetic organic chemicals that were widely used in electrical equipment, hydraulic fluids, and other industrial applications until their ban in the late 1970s due to their environmental persistence and toxicity. PCBs are highly resistant to degradation, leading to their accumulation in the environment and bioaccumulation in food chains. They can be found in sediments, air, and water bodies, where they bind to organic matter. As organisms ingest contaminated food or water, PCBs accumulate in their fatty tissues. This bioaccumulation poses significant health risks not only to wildlife but also to humans who consume contaminated fish or animal products. Long-term exposure to PCBs has been linked to liver damage, immune system suppression, and various cancers.

Atrazine is a widely used herbicide in agriculture, particularly for corn crops. Its application has raised concerns due to its potential endocrine-disrupting effects. Atrazine can enter water systems through agricultural runoff and has been shown to cause reproductive and developmental effects in amphibians, including hermaphroditism and skewed sex ratios. Studies suggest that atrazine exposure may also be linked to reproductive health issues in humans, such as low birth weights and fertility problems. The persistence of atrazine in the environment contributes to its widespread contamination of groundwater and surface water sources.[8]

REGULATORY CHALLENGES IN MANAGING ENDOCRINE DISRUPTORS

Regulatory challenges in managing endocrine disruptors (EDs) are significant and multifaceted, influenced by various global frameworks and the complexities of chemical testing and identification. The global regulatory frameworks for EDs vary across regions, with the European Union's REACH (Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals) regulation being one of the most comprehensive. REACH places the burden of proof on chemical manufacturers to demonstrate that their substances can be used safely, requiring extensive data on chemical properties and risks. In contrast, the U.S. operates under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), which has been updated to enhance the EPA's authority to evaluate and manage risks associated with chemicals. While both regulations aim to protect human health and the environment, they differ in scope, enforcement mechanisms, and specific requirements, creating challenges for companies operating in multiple jurisdictions.

The testing and identification of endocrine disruptors present additional challenges. Current methodologies for assessing endocrine activity are often inconsistent and can vary significantly between studies. There is no standardized testing protocol universally accepted for identifying EDs, which complicates regulatory assessments. Furthermore, many existing chemicals have not been thoroughly tested for endocrine-disrupting effects, leading to uncertainties in risk evaluation. The complexity of endocrine systems and the potential for low-dose effects make it particularly difficult to establish clear cause-and-effect relationships in toxicological studies.

Data gaps and scientific uncertainty further complicate regulatory efforts. Many chemicals lack sufficient data regarding their endocrine-disrupting potential, particularly at low doses where effects can differ from those observed at higher concentrations.

Finally, policy and implementation issues arise from limitations in monitoring and enforcement capabilities. Regulatory agencies often face resource constraints that hinder their ability to effectively monitor compliance with existing regulations. Additionally, the evolving nature of ED chemicals where new substances are continuously developed and introduced into the market complicates enforcement efforts. As scientific understanding of EDs advances, regulations must also adapt to incorporate new findings, which requires ongoing collaboration between scientists, industry stakeholders, and regulatory bodies 46. These challenges underscore the need for a coordinated global approach to effectively manage endocrine disruptors and protect public health and environmental safety.[9]

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, endocrine disruptors pose significant health risks to both humans and wildlife, with their widespread presence in the environment complicating regulatory efforts. While substantial progress has been made in understanding their mechanisms and impacts, challenges persist in terms of testing, risk assessment, and regulation. Strengthening regulatory frameworks, advancing detection technologies, and increasing public awareness are crucial steps toward mitigating exposure and safeguarding health. Ongoing research and international cooperation remain essential to address the evolving threat of endocrine disruptors and protect ecosystems.

REFERENCE

1. Kabir, E. R., Rahman, M. S., & Rahman, I. (2015). A review on endocrine disruptors and their possible impacts on human health. Environmental toxicology and pharmacology, 40(1), 241-258.
2. Mendes, J. A. (2002). The endocrine disrupters: a major medical challenge. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 40(6), 781-788.
3. Casals-Casas, C., & Desvergne, B. (2011). Endocrine disruptors: from endocrine to metabolic disruption. Annual review of physiology, 73(1), 135-162.
4. Thomas, P., & Dong, J. (2006). Binding and activation of the seven-transmembrane estrogen receptor GPR30 by environmental estrogens: a potential novel mechanism of endocrine disruption. *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology*, *102*(1-5), 175-179.
5. Frye, C., Bo, E., Calamandrei, G., Calza, L., Dessì-Fulgheri, F., Fernández, M., ... & Panzica, G. C. (2012). Endocrine disrupters: a review of some sources, effects, and mechanisms of actions on behaviour and neuroendocrine systems. *Journal of neuroendocrinology*, *24*(1), 144-159.
6. Mimoto, M. S., Nadal, A., & Sargis, R. M. (2017). Polluted pathways: mechanisms of metabolic disruption by endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Current environmental health reports*, *4*, 208-222.
7. Kabir, E. R., Rahman, M. S., & Rahman, I. (2015). A review on endocrine disruptors and their possible impacts on human health. Environmental toxicology and pharmacology, 40(1), 241-258.
8. Oehlmann, J., & Schulte-Oehlmann, U. (2003). Endocrine disruption in invertebrates. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, *75*(11-12), 2207-2218.
9. Fuhrman, V. F., Tal, A., & Arnon, S. (2015). Why endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) challenge traditional risk assessment and how to respond. *Journal of hazardous materials*, *286*, 589-611.