Innovations in Pharmacy Planet (iP-Planet)
eISSN: 2348 – 7275

Editorial

Human Health at Risk: Why We Need Stricter Regulations on Environmental Toxins

Vinod M. Thakare
Departments of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance and Pharmaceutical Analysis
Professor & Principal, Nagpur College of Pharmacy,
Wanadongri, Hingna Road, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.
Mail Id-: vmthakre@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: Dr. Vinod M. Thakare, Departments of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance and Pharmaceutical Analysis Professor & Principal, Nagpur College of Pharmacy, Wanadongri, Hingna Road, Nagpur-441110, Maharashtra, India.
Mail Id-: vmthakre@gmail.com

Introduction

Environmental toxins, ranging from industrial chemicals to agricultural pesticides, pose an escalating threat to human health. Despite growing awareness, regulatory measures remain inadequate in mitigating the pervasive risks these toxins present. Chronic exposure to pollutants such as lead, mercury, and bisphenol A (BPA) has been linked to a myriad of health problems, including cancer, neurodevelopmental disorders, and respiratory ailments.[1]

This editorial underscore the urgent need for stricter regulations on environmental toxins, highlighting their impact on public health and advocating for a proactive approach to policy reform.

The Pervasiveness of Environmental Toxins

Environmental toxins infiltrate every facet of daily life. They are found in air, water, soil, and even household products. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), for example, can accumulate in human tissues over time, leading to long-term health consequences. Similarly, microplastics have become ubiquitous, entering food chains and affecting human health in unknown ways.

The cumulative exposure to multiple toxins exacerbates their harmful effects, making it difficult to identify and mitigate individual sources. This highlights the need for comprehensive and precautionary regulatory frameworks.[2]

Health Impacts of Environmental Toxins

A. Respiratory and Cardiovascular Diseases:

Airborne toxins such as particulate matter (PM2.5) and nitrogen dioxide contribute to respiratory disorders like asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). They are also linked to increased risks of heart attacks and strokes.[3]

B. Cancer:

Exposure to carcinogens such as benzene, asbestos, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) has been strongly associated with various cancers, including lung, skin, and bladder cancers.[4]

C. Neurodevelopmental Disorders:

Heavy metals like lead and mercury disrupt neurological development in children, leading to cognitive deficits, behavioral issues, and lower IQ scores.[5]

D. Endocrine Disruption:

Chemicals such as BPA and phthalates interfere with hormonal systems, affecting reproduction, metabolism, and immune function.[6]

Insufficient Regulations: A Global Concern

Despite the proven dangers, regulatory measures often lag behind scientific evidence. Many chemicals in widespread use have not undergone rigorous safety testing. The regulatory landscape is further complicated by inconsistent policies across nations, allowing toxins to persist in global supply chains.

The lack of stringent enforcement also

undermines existing regulations. In many countries, industries exploit loopholes, and monitoring agencies face resource constraints, reducing their ability to hold violators accountable.[7]

Why Stricter Regulations Are Necessary

A. Preventing Long-Term Health Costs: Chronic exposure to toxins results in significant healthcare burdens, including treatment costs and loss of productivity. Proactive regulation can prevent these expenses by reducing exposure and associated diseases.[8]

B. Protecting Vulnerable Populations: Children, pregnant women, and the elderly are particularly susceptible to environmental toxins. Stricter regulations ensure that these groups receive the protection they need.[9]

C. Safeguarding Ecosystems: Toxins affect not only humans but also the ecosystems that sustain us. Regulatory interventions are crucial to preserving biodiversity and maintaining ecological balance.[10]

D. Driving Innovation: Enforcing stricter standards encourages industries to adopt cleaner technologies and sustainable practices, fostering innovation while reducing environmental harm.[11]

Conclusion

The evidence is clear: environmental toxins pose a grave threat to human health and ecosystems. The current regulatory framework is insufficient to address the magnitude of this issue. Stricter regulations, backed by scientific research and international cooperation, are imperative to mitigate the risks. By adopting a precautionary approach, we can safeguard future generations from the health impacts of environmental toxins. The time to act is now—human health and the planet's well-being depend on it.

References

1. Limbu, S. M., Zhou, L., Sun, S. X., Zhang, M. L., & Du, Z. Y. (2018). Chronic exposure to low environmental concentrations and legal aquaculture doses of antibiotics cause systemic adverse effects in Nile tilapia and provoke differential human health risk. Environment international, 115, 205-219.
2. Cordner, A. (2016). Toxic safety: Flame retardants, chemical controversies, and environmental health. Columbia University Press.
3. Cohen, D. A., & Knopman, D. S. (2018). Existing regulatory approaches to reducing exposures to chemical-and product-based risk and their applicability to diet-related chronic disease. Risk Analysis, 38(10), 2041-2054.
4. Madia, F., Worth, A., Whelan, M., & Corvi, R. (2019). Carcinogenicity assessment: Addressing the challenges of cancer and chemicals in the environment. Environment international, 128, 417-429.
5. Grandjean, P. (2015). Only one chance: how environmental pollution impairs brain development--and how to protect the brains of the next generation. Environmental Ethics and Scien.
6. Roig, B., Mnif, W., Hadj Hassine, A. I., Zidi, I., Bayle, S., Bartegi, A., & Thomas, O. (2013). Endocrine disrupting chemicals and human health risk assessment: a critical review. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 43(21), 2297-2351.
7. Ghorani-Azam, A., Riahi-Zanjani, B., & Balali-Mood, M. (2016). Effects of air pollution on human health and practical measures for prevention in Iran. Journal of research in medical sciences, 21(1), 65.
8. Freudenberg, N. (2014). Lethal but legal: corporations, consumption, and protecting public health. Oxford University Press.
9. MacKendrick, N. (2018). Better safe than sorry: How consumers navigate exposure to everyday toxics. Univ of California Press.
10. Whitmee, S., Haines, A., Beyrer, C., et.al (2015). The Rockefeller Foundation–Lancet Commission on planetary health. Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch: report of The Rockefeller. Lancet, 386(10007), 1973-2028.
11. Kienzler, A., Bopp, S. K., van der Linden, S., Berggren, E., & Worth, A. (2016). Regulatory assessment of chemical mixtures: requirements, current approaches and future perspectives. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 80, 321-334.

